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ABSTRACT: New molecular beam scattering experiments are reported for the ammonia–hydrogen system recording with unprecedented resolution "glory" quantum interferences in the total cross sections. Direct comparison with the analogous water–hydrogen complex, investigated under the same experimental conditions, highlights relevant differences in the intermolecular interaction affecting the observables. Analysis of the electronic charge displacement accompanying formation of both complexes, calculated using very accurate ab initio methods, helps to rationalize the experimental findings and unveils the selective and crucial role of charge transfer in driving water interactions and formation of a weak hydrogen bond.

1. INTRODUCTION

The ammonia–hydrogen and water–hydrogen aggregates are among the simplest, prototypical examples of a noncovalent intermolecular bond between hydrogenated molecules. The intermolecular interactions which characterize these complexes have attracted a lot of attention, since they control relevant elementary (radiative and nonradiative) processes occurring in galaxies, interstellar clouds, and hydrogen-rich atmospheres of heavy planets. The dynamics of the gas-phase collisions of the species involved strongly depends on important features of the multidimensional intermolecular potential energy surfaces (PES), such as barriers, anisotropies, binding energy, and structure in the most stable configurations.1−3 Moreover, detailed knowledge of the features of the PES, in particular, in the long-range region, and of the balance of the various interaction components is important to cast light on the origin, strength, and selectivity of the weak intermolecular hydrogen bond.4−6 In particular, it is important to assess if ammonia, as observed for water,5,7,8 may show in embryo a stereoselective Lewis acid–basic character when interacting with H$_2$. A large number of studies can be found in the literature (see, for instance, refs 1−3, 7, 9−16), providing information on H$_2$O–H$_2$ or NH$_3$–H$_2$, although detailed experimental characterizations are scarce. Herein, we propose for the first time a direct experimental comparison of these two prototype systems, carried out by molecular beam scattering under identical conditions. Experimental observations have been coupled with a detailed theoretical analysis based on the mapping of the electron density modification upon complex formation. Our efforts aim at discovering and explaining similarities and differences in the behavior of these two prototype weakly bound complexes, as determined by the nature of their intermolecular interaction.

Recently,8 combination of new experimental and theoretical data allowed us to give a detailed characterization of the water–hydrogen binary interaction. We measured and analyzed the total integral cross section, Q, as a function of the collision velocity, v, via molecular beam experiments exploiting rotationally hot water molecules scattered in the thermal energy range. The measured glory quantum interference structure appeared clearly shifted with respect to predictions based on the usual well-established models of the noncovalent forces governing the interaction. This finding indicates the presence, at intermediate intermolecular distances, of an additional stabilizing interaction contribution which, with the help of accurate ab initio calculations and charge displacement analysis, could be clearly attributed to a charge-transfer (CT) component of about 2.5 meV per millielectron transferred. We could also observe that the pronounced stereospecificity of the CT contribution and its exponential decay with intermolecular distance control important features of the PES, such as the structure and energetics of some stable configurations.

This article reports analogous new molecular beam scattering experiments on ammonia–hydrogen carried out under high-resolution conditions and combined with new high-level ab initio calculations. Our investigation provides an accurate determination of the absolute scale of the rotationally averaged interaction and simultaneous characterization of the main features of the PES and the electronic charge density changes occurring upon formation of the complex. This yields quantitative information on the strength and relative role of the leading interaction components, in particular, of CT and its stereospecificity.
and permits an illuminating comparison between the water—hydrogen and ammonia—hydrogen systems investigated with identical techniques and experimental conditions. The next section summarizes basic aspects of the experimental technique and reports on the measured cross-section data and the obtained information on the intermolecular interactions. Section 3 describes the charge displacement analysis and its relation with the experimental findings. Some conclusions follow in the final section.

2. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS

Experiments have been carried out in a molecular beam (MB) apparatus which basically consists of a set of differentially pumped vacuum chambers where a MB is produced by gas expansion, collimated by two skimmers and one defining slit, velocity analyzed by a selector (six rotating slotted disks), attenuated by collisions with a target gas contained in a scattering chamber, and detected by a quadrupole mass spectrometer. All details on the apparatus and conditions used have been reported in previous papers. Deuterated molecules (ND₃ and D₂) have been used instead of lighter NH₃ and H₂ to take advantage of the experimental data using the improved Lennard–Jones potential model, whose formulation exploits the cold molecular beams. This effect vanishes for the higher rotational levels typical of thermal energy distributions such as those in the present experiment. Moreover, also the internal inversion motion of ammonia is expected not to affect the present observations. It may consequently be assumed that the interaction effectively probed must essentially be the spherical average of the PES and can thus be represented by a simple radial potential. We therefore carried out analysis of the experimental data using the improved Lennard–Jones (ILJ) potential model, whose formulation exploits the balance of attractive and repulsive terms, both depending on the intermolecular distance \( r \)

\[
V(r) = \epsilon \left[ \frac{6}{n(r) - 6} \left( \frac{r_m}{r} \right)^n - \frac{n(r)}{(n(r) - 6)^6} \right] \tag{1}
\]

\( n(r) = \beta + 4 \left( \frac{r}{r_m} \right)^2 \tag{2} \]

Parameters \( \epsilon \) (depth of the potential well) and \( r_m \) (equilibrium distance) have been optimized in order to reproduce the
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**Figure 1.** Experimental and calculated best-fit cross sections \( Q \) (solid lines) for ND₃–D₂ (open circles) and D₂O–D₂ (filled black circles) as a function of molecular beam velocity \( v \). Data are plotted as \( Q(v) v^{2/5} \) to emphasize the quantum interference oscillations. Dashed line is the cross section resulting from a recent accurate ab initio NH₃–H₂ PES. Also shown, for comparison, are the cross sections for Kr–H₂ and Ar–H₂ (dotted lines) calculated from accurate PES data and the experimental cross section for the O₂–D₃ system (filled gray circles). All calculated cross sections have been obtained in the center-of-mass frame using the JWKB method and convoluted in the laboratory system for direct comparison with experimental data.
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**Figure 2.** Experimental cross-section and calculated best-fit line (solid) for D₂–ND₃ as a function of MB velocity \( v \). Dotted line and dotted-dashed line represent the cross section that would be obtained in the absence of CT energy stabilization (\( \epsilon = 6.5 \) meV, \( r_m = 3.71 \) Å) and with an energy stabilization equal to that determined for water–H₂ (\( \epsilon = 8.6 \) meV, \( r_m = 3.61 \) Å), respectively (see the text for details).
measured cross sections to within the calibration uncertainty (3–4%) of their absolute scale. As reported previously, we take $\beta = 9$, a value typical of weak intramolecular interactions between neutral species. More details on the formulation and reliability of the IIJ potential function have been extensively discussed and tested elsewhere.

Figures 1 and 2 report the measured $Q(v)$ and the corresponding fits. The magnitude of the cross section, mainly affected by the long-range attraction, directly depends on the $\varepsilon \times r_m^6$ product. Once this product has been determined on the basis of the ND$_3$–D$_2$ measurements, the values of the $\varepsilon$ and $r_m$ parameters have been best fitted to reproduce the well-resolved glory structure of the D$_2$–ND$_3$ cross section (Figure 2). Its noteworthy to remember that the glory quantum interference is controlled essentially by the potential well features.

The final values are $\varepsilon = 7.35 \pm 0.30$ meV and $r_m = 3.70 \pm 0.06$ Å. As in previous cases, the good fit of the experimental observables supports the validity of the present analysis and of the isotropic potential model. Figure 1 also shows the $Q(v)$ function calculated using the spherical average of a recent accurate PES$^8$ for which $\varepsilon = 7.20$ meV and $r_m = 3.64$ Å. Because the elastic cross section is not sensitive to the details of the internal degrees of freedom of the colliding molecules, the isotopic effect has been estimated to be negligible, and therefore, the comparison provides a further independent accuracy assessment. Moreover, our determined potential parameters are close to those obtained from differential cross sections.

Data shown in Figure 1 are extremely revealing of the different features of the interaction in ammonia–hydrogen and water–hydrogen complexes. Compared to the water complex, the overall magnitude of the cross section is about 12% larger in the ammonia complex and the position of the glory maximum is shifted by about 4% at lower velocities. Although the fwhm of the velocity selector is better than 5%, the width of the relative velocity distributions, used in the center of mass laboratory frame transformation at each selected beam velocity, is much larger due to the D$_2$ thermal motion in the scattering chamber. Therefore, the mentioned 4% shift has been evaluated considering the cross sections in the center of mass system.

These findings emphasize that at long range the larger polarizability of ammonia (2.16 vs. 1.47 Å$^3$ of water) causes stronger attraction toward H$_2$ (by about 34%), but at intermediate and shorter distances the global (attraction plus repulsion) interaction in ammonia–hydrogen is weaker than in water–hydrogen, resulting in a shallower potential well. We recall here that the object of CD analysis is the function

$$\Delta q(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{z} dz' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta \rho(x, y, z') dx dy$$

where $\Delta \rho$ is the electron density difference between the complex and its separated fragments (at the same positions) and $z$ is any chosen axis joining them. $\Delta q(z)$ gives at each point along $z$ the amount of electronic charge that, upon formation of the complex, is transferred from the right to the left side of the perpendicular plane through $z$. $z$ is chosen here as the line joining the center of mass (c.m.) of the two molecules. Electron densities have been computed at the coupled-cluster level of theory$^{35}$ with single and double excitations (CCSD) using the aug-cc-pVQZ basis set$^{36}$, which ensures fully reliable convergence of the CD function. Analysis of $\Delta q(z)$ may be very helpful for qualitative assessment of the presence and extent of even small amounts of CT. CT may be confidently said to take place when $\Delta q(z)$ is appreciably different from zero and does not change sign in the region between the fragments. In this case it may be comparatively estimated by taking the CD function value at a point between the fragments. We have usually chosen$^{38}$ to separate the fragments and extract the CT value at the so-called isodensity boundary, i.e., the point along $z$ where the electron densities of the noninteracting fragments become equal. We often noticed that this point turns out to be close to the minimum of the total molecular density between the fragments and also close to the bond critical point$^{38}$ when there is one.

In Figure 3 we compare the density change contour plots and CD functions for NH$_3$–H$_2$ and H$_2$O–H$_2$ in two geometry arrangements which exemplify typical and opposite charge-transfer patterns. In the upper panels of the figure the two complexes are at their respective most stable geometry, in
which H$_2$ lies along the symmetry axis of the partner molecule, on the nitrogen and oxygen side, respectively. The pattern of electron displacement is clearly very similar, both qualitatively and quantitatively, in both complexes. As should be expected, the H$_2$ electron cloud is strongly polarized by the hydride induction, with density shifting from the region around the hydrogen closer to ammonia (water) toward the far hydrogen atom. The density depletion around the former hydrogen is shown by the red lobe in the contour plot and the corresponding negative slope of the CD curve. Density accumulation at the far hydrogen is indicated by the blue density difference lobe and the positive CD slope. CD curves evidence that H$_2$ polarization is more pronounced in the ammonia than in the water complex, with the curves reaching a maximum of about 23 and 18 me, respectively, near the H$_2$ midpoint. A small overall polarization is also seen in the ammonia and water regions. The CD curve is in both cases positive across the whole complex, proving that at each point along the axis some amount of electronic charge has moved in the direction from the hydride toward H$_2$. In the region between the molecules the curves are relatively flat and we notice that, in contrast with H$_2$ polarization, the water curve is slightly more positive than the ammonia one, indicating a correspondingly larger CT from water to H$_2$. Taking the isodensity boundary as our reference, CT to hydrogen is 2.41 me in the ammonia case and 2.85 me in the water one. Extensive characterization of the polarization and CT zones of the CD curve is beyond the purposes of the present work and presented in ref 6.

In the lower panels of the figure H$_2$ is on the opposite side of the partner molecule, with its c.m. lying on a N−H (O−H) axis. The other relative coordinates are fully optimized. Note that in the water case the H$_2$ bond lies perpendicular to the water plane, while in the ammonia complex it lies on the ammonia symmetry plane. Here we see a completely different CD pattern, with CD curves negative everywhere, i.e., electrons flowing away from H$_2$ and toward the other molecule. However, what is most important here is that the density perturbation is everywhere small in the ammonia case and substantially more pronounced in the water complex. As a result, CT from H$_2$ to ammonia, measured at the isodensity boundary, is only 1.0 me, while it is almost three times larger (2.8 me) and similar (in magnitude) to the upper-panel arrangement in the water case.

The scenario exemplified by Figure 3 is general: we find that a CT component of the interaction is present in both the water and the ammonia complexes with H$_2$, but while this component is of comparable magnitude in the nuclear orientations where the hydride molecule is the electron donor, it is very different in the configurations where the hydride is the acceptor (proton donor). Here, remarkably, CT persists just as significant in the water complex, while it becomes much smaller in the ammonia case. We are thus led to conclude that, when averaged over all possible orientations, the CT component plays an overall more significant role in stabilizing the water complex than the ammonia one.

An insightful confirmation of this picture is provided in Figure 4. Herein, a comparison of the trends in interaction energy and CT magnitude (taken at the isodensity boundary) along a representative PES section covering the main aspects discussed and corresponding to H$_2$ circling around either ammonia or water, with its c.m. lying on the water plane and on a ammonia symmetry plane, respectively, is presented. At each angle of revolution the other geometry parameters are relaxed. The angle in question, $\phi$, is that between the hydride symmetry axis and the axis joining the c.m. of the two molecules, with $\phi = 0$ corresponding to H$_2$ on the hydrogen side. In the ammonia case, the two half circles traveled by H$_2$ below and above $\phi = 180^\circ$ are not exactly equivalent (though similar) and only the first one, where H$_2$ passes in front of a hydrogen atom
negative, i.e., H₂ is the electron donor, a maximum of CT determined spherical average. For smaller angles, where CT is deeper in the ammonia case, in contrast with the experimentally the global minimum of the PES is found. Note that this is of water.

Positive CT is from ammonia (water) to H₂ and negative from H₂ to ammonia (water).

Figure 4. CT values at the isodensity boundary (dots) for different orientations of NH₃−H₂ (top) and H₂O−H₂ (bottom). Corresponding interaction energy curve (solid lines) is also shown (see text). Positive CT is from ammonia (water) to H₂ and negative from H₂ to ammonia (water).

For which CT is more pronounced and a stricter comparison with water−H₂ can be made, is shown in the figure. As one can see, CT varies significantly along the path, displaying a strong anisotropy in both complexes, but a significantly wider oscillation is present in the water case. The pattern of CT is surprisingly similar in both complexes for ϕ above ~120°, where ammonia and water act as electron donors (CT is positive). At ϕ = 180°, in correspondence with a CT maximum, the global minimum of the PES is found. Note that this is deeper in the ammonia case, in contrast with the experimentally determined spherical average. For smaller angles, where CT is negative, i.e., H₂ is the electron donor, a maximum of CT magnitude is also found at ϕ values corresponding to the H₂ c.m. pointing almost directly toward one O−H (N−H) bond. However, while in the water complex this maximum is sharper and even larger (3.1 me) than the ϕ = 180° one, it is much less pronounced in the ammonia case. It is indeed eye catching that, in essentially exact coincidence with this CT peak, a secondary maximum the actual impact of CT on the water interaction with H₂ must be much larger than in the ammonia case, not only because of the intrinsically more pronounced hydrogen-bonding propensity of water but also because of the (partly consequent) significantly shorter distance of H₂ approach. Indeed, at the minimum of the potential well, CT in the water complex (~1.1 me) is almost exactly twice that in the ammonia complex. The larger interaction energy at the global minimum of NH₃−H₂ compared to the water complex signals that, at other configurations, the interaction must on the contrary be weaker in order to produce the experimentally measured shallower average well depth.

Finally, we may very roughly estimate the energy stabilization associated with this CT using the conversion rate of ~2.5 eV/e, which we previously found useful in a series of similar complexes. The resulting figures match comfortably well the experimental estimates discussed earlier, lending further support to our analysis.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Understanding at the molecular level the interactions involving water, as well as other small hydrogenated molecules such as NH₃ is as important a scientific goal as it is elusive, with a potentially vast impact in fields ranging from astrophysics to biochemistry. Interesting case studies are represented by the H₂O−H₂ and NH₃−H₂ dimers. These molecular complexes are among the most fundamental in the visible universe, and detailed knowledge of their intermolecular interactions is vital for description of many phenomena, from interstellar masers to
hydrogen bonding. In this article we presented state-of-the-art molecular beam scattering experiments combined with an insightful charge beam displacement analysis in order to understand and bring into a useful perspective—amenable to modeling—the elusive and controversial subject of charge-transfer effects in the perturbative limit occurring in these weak intermolecular interactions.

The results presented here unambiguously show that, far from being typical van der Waals complexes, water and ammonia intermolecular interactions, even when very weak, possess a definite, strongly stereospecific, CT component, where water (or ammonia) may act as electron donor or acceptor depending on orientation. Careful comparison with the experimental results shows that the stabilization energy associated to CT is on the order of 2–3 eV per electron transferred but CT, especially in the hydrogen-bonding orientations, is much less pronounced for ammonia than for water. The reasons for such difference, as explained in the paper, are due to a subtle balance between long-range attractive and short-range repulsive terms in the overall intermolecular interaction.

A study of H2S provides the next logical step in a systematic study of charge transfer in hydrogen bonding.
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(37) This definition includes the change in density due to the antisymmetrization and renormalization of the total wave function made up from the nonorthogonal fragment wave functions.